IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL RANKINS Petitioner,)
vs.)
THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF YORK, et al, Respondents.) Magistrate Judge Baxter)))
MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT	AND RECOMMENDATION
. RECOMMENDATION It is respectfully recommended that the instar	nt petition for writ of habeas corpus be
ransferred to the Middle District of Pennsylvania.	
Petitioner's motion for appointment of couns	el is more properly dealt with by the Court
which will receive this case.	
I. REPORT	
This is a petition for writ of habeas corpus, p	ursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, filed by a state
prisoner presently incarcerated in the Western Distric	ct of Pennsylvania. In his petition for writ of
nabeas corpus, Petitioner claims that he was convicted	ed of various criminal offenses in York
County, Pennsylvania.	
Title 28 U.S.C. §2241(d) provides that where	an application for a writ of habeas corpus is
made by a person in custody under the judgment and	sentence of a state court of a state which
contains two or more federal judicial districts,	
the application may be filed in the dis wherein such person is in custody or in district within which the state court we sentenced him and each of such district concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the court for the district wherein such an exercise of its discretion and in further the application to the other district con	in the district court for the ras held which convicted and ct courts shall have e application. The district application is filed in the erance of justice may transfer

determination.

28 U.S.C. §2241(d). See also, Bell v. Watkins, 692 F.2d 999 (5th Cir. 1982) (the district court

transferred the action on the basis of the magistrate's recommendation which indicated that the

district where the defendant was convicted was the more convenient forum because of the

accessibility of evidence).

In the case at bar, Petitioner was tried and convicted in York County, Pennsylvania.

Petitioner's records are located there. He is presently incarcerated at the State Correctional

Institution at Albion within the Western District of Pennsylvania. York County, the county of

the conviction, is located in the Middle District of Pennsylvania. This Court finds that the

interests of justice would be better served by transferring this petition to the Middle District of

Pennsylvania wherein all activity in this case occurred.

III. **CONCLUSION**

It is respectfully recommended that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be transferred to

the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§2241(d).

In accordance with the Magistrates Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C), and

Rule 72.1.4(B) of the Local Rules for Magistrates Judges, the parties are allowed ten (10) days

from the date of service to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation. No

extensions of time will be granted. Failure to file timely objections may constitute a waiver of

any appellate rights. See Nara v. Frank, 488 F.3d 187 (3d Cir. 2007).

S/ Susan Paradise Baxter SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: June 10, 2008

2